


SM Transparency Catalog ► Polycor ► Marble Facades, Cladding & Walls

# **POLYCOR**

# Marble Facades, Cladding & Walls

Originating at the Polycor quarries and through production, marbles are manufactured to the system's specifications from ultra-thin profiles up to full thickness dimensional elements complimenting a wide range of façade structures. Marble is an inherently nonemitting source of VOCs and its durability allows it to perform impeccably in commercial & residential applications, interior or exterior.





# Performance dashboard

#### **Features & functionality**

Covers the wide selection of Polycor's heritage marbles and any surface finishes available.

Has an unmatched durability and no need for periodic cleaning.

Includes ultra-thin panels and veneer series : BERKSHIRE®, ROCKFORD ESTATE BLEND® & VANDERBILT CLASSIC®.

Installation methods include adhered or anchored

Visit Polycor for more product information Marbles Facades, Cladding & Walls Building Facades Interior Wall Cladding Cut-to-size Veneer Wall tile Veneer series

#### **Environment & materials**

Polycor's commitment to carbon neutrality translates into:

Reduction of product's GWP

Reduction of product's energy intensity

Polycor's ownship of the chain of custody from quarries to plants ensures:

No child labor and forced labor

Materials remain 100% natural, free from chemicals or dyes

#### Certifications & rating systems:

Environmental Product Declaration (EPD) Natural Stone Sustainability Standard (ANSI 373) Health Product Declaration (HPD)

MasterFormat<sup>®</sup> 04 41, 04 42, 04 43, 04 43 16, 09 75

See LCA, interpretation & rating systems





# SM Transparency Report (EPD)™

LCA

#### VERIFICATION

**3rd-party reviewed** 

Transparency Report (EPD)

**3rd-party verified** 

Validity: 2023/01/31 – 2028/01/30 Decl #: POL- 20230131 – 008 This environmental product declaration (EPD) was externally verified, according to ISO 21930:2017, UL Part A, and ISO 14025:2006, by Jack Geibig, President, Ecoform.

Ecoform, LLC 11903 Black Road, Knoxville, TN 37932

(865) 850-1883



SUMMARY Reference PCR ULE PCR Part B: Cladding Product Systems EPD requirements v2.0, 2021

**Regions; system boundaries** North America; Cradle to grave

**Functional unit / reference service life:** 1 m<sup>2</sup> of installed stone cladding; 75 years

LCIA methodology: TRACI 2.1

LCA software; LCI database SimaPro Developer 9.4 Ecolnvent 3.8, US-EI 2.2

LCA conducted by: Sustainable Minds

Public LCA: Life Cycle Assessment of Natural Stone Cladding for Polycor Polycor Inc. 76 rue Saint-Paul, Suite 100 Quebec City (Quebec), Canada G1K 3V9 418-692-4695

www.polycor.com

Contact us

© 2022 | The SM Transparency Report [EPD]<sup>™</sup> Program is operated by Sustainable Minds° (www.sustainableminds.com) | Privacy policy



SM Transparency Catalog 
Polycor 
Marble Facades, Cladding & Walls

# LCA results & interpretation

Marble Facades, Cladding & Walls

Life cycle assessment

#### Scope and summary



#### **Product description**

Stone cladding is applied to a building exterior to separate it from the natural environment and provide an outer layer to the building. It not only provides a control to weather elements but also a durable, aesthetically pleasing building appearance. Marble cladding is used in commercial, residential, and public sector buildings.

The results in this study are presented for cladding with a thickness of 48.16mm. However, this study applies to a range of thicknesses and can be applied using the scaling factors on Page 4.

#### Functional unit

The functional unit is one square meter of installed natural stone cladding for a service life of 75 years. No replacement will be needed during the entire Estimated service life of buildings (ESL). The product system in this study also includes the ancillary materials used in the installation of the product mortar and masonry connectors. Materials needed to meet functional unit are:

Natural stone - 129.94 kg per m<sup>2</sup> Mortar - 4.88 kg per m<sup>2</sup> Masonry connectors - 0.62 kg per m<sup>2</sup> Water - 1.00 liter per m<sup>2</sup>

Detailed information for functional unit properties is shown on Page 4.

#### Manufacturing data

The data for all marble stone products were collected from Polycor's marble quarries and processing facilities covering a period of two years: January 2020 to December 2021. Data for marble quarry operations were collected from two quarry sites across North America and grouped as North American marble quarries.

After marble is extracted from the quarry, it goes to a processing facility. Stone processor operations data were collected from one Polycor marble processing site in North America.

American Marble Plants: one manufacturing facility in Georgia.

Data were collected from quarries and producers mainly operating in North America (mainly the US). As such, the geographical coverage for this study is based on North American conditions.

#### What's causing the greatest impacts

#### All life cycle stages

For the natural stone cladding product, the cradle-to-gate stage (A1-A3) dominates the results for all impact categories. This study assessed a multitude of inventory and environmental indicators. In addition to the six major impact categories (global warming potential, ozone depletion, acidification, smog, eutrophication, and fossil fuel depletion), additional impact categories have also been included. These six impact categories are globally deemed mature enough to be included in Type III environmental declarations. Other categories are being developed and defined, and LCA should continue making advances in their development. However, the EPD users shall not use additional measures for comparative purposes. LCIA results are relative expressions and do not predict impacts on category endpoints, the exceeding of thresholds, safety margins or risks.

Overall results are consistent with expectations for stone cladding's life cycles, with most of the impacts being generated during cradle-to-gate stages, as cladding is not associated with energy consumption during its use stage.

The primary finding, across the environmental indicators, was that cradleto-gate stage (A1-A3) dominates the impacts due to the energy consumed at the quarries and processing plants. The quarry operations (A1) stage is the highest contributor to most of the impact categories, followed by the processor operations (A3). The cradle-to-gate stage (A1-A3) contributes to ~80% of the total impacts in all impact categories. The transportation of stone from quarries to processing plants, transportation of cladding from processing plants to the installation sites, and use of mortar during installation also generate significant impacts in the overall life cycle impacts of marble cladding.

#### Quarry operations and transport to processors

Quarry operations (A1) stage is the highest contributor to most of the impact categories. The impacts generated are mainly because of the use of grid electricity and fuels in the quarries. Other material inputs generate little impact in comparison to the electricity and fuel consumed. The transportation of stone from quarries to processing plants also generates significant impacts in numerous impact categories.

#### Processor operations and transport to building sites

Manufacturing operations at processing plants (A3) is also a great impact contributor. It makes up the greatest share of four out of ten impact categories. Energy consumed at processors (both electricity and fuels) is responsible for the majority of impacts, while other material inputs have an insignificant contribution. The transportation of stone cladding manufactured in processor plants to the building sites also makes a significant impact on the overall life cycle impacts of natural stone cladding.

#### Default installation, packaging, and disposal scenarios

Cladding is delivered at the job site ready for installation, where minor cuts may be necessary to accommodate design. The amount of ancillary materials used depend largely on the building design, but most stone cladding installations incorporate anchors and mortar, used either as masonry bed or to fill veneer cavities. Wood and cardboard used as packaging to safely deliver the stone to the site is then transported to be either landfilled or recycled, following US EPA's end of life scenarios for containers and packaging. At the end of its useful life, the cladding is removed and transported to be either landfilled (31.5%) or recycled (68.5%).

#### Other life cycle stages

Cement mortar used during the installation (A5) of marble cladding also generates significant environmental impacts in the overall life cycle impacts of marble cladding. Under normal operating conditions, marble cladding will not require any cleaning. Due to the nature of natural stone, it is anticipated that the stone cladding products will last for the lifetime of the building. The reference service life (RSL) thus meets an ESL of 75 years, and cladding will need no replacements during its service life. The use stage is not relevant since stone cladding does not require any repair, replacement, or refurbishment during its entire service life. End-of-life stages have lower contributions to the total life cycle impacts.

#### Material composition greater than 1% by weight

MATERIAL	% WEIGHT
Marble	100%

#### Total impacts by life cycle stages [mPts/per func unit]

4.00E+00	 LIFE CYCLE STAGE	MPTS/FUNC. UNIT
	Raw material supply and transport	1.82E+00
3.00E+00 -	 Manufacturing	1.30E+00
	Construction	2.71E-01
2.00E+00 -	End of life	8.38E-02
1.00E+00 —		

#### Sensitivity analysis

Based on the recommendation provided by Polycor, impacts for processor operations specific to a square meter of marble cladding was assumed to match the average stone processing for square meter of marble. A sensitivity analysis was performed to check the robustness of the results when the energy consumed during processing is varied by +/-20% from the estimate used in this study. The resulting variation in total life cycle impacts of marble cladding is ~9% for potential CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent emissions and ~8% for fossil fuel depletion. Other impact categories also follow a similar trend.

Natural stone is one of the lowest embodied carbon construction materials. Although we are proud of this intrinsic quality, we want to make sure that we'll never stop improving it. Our main driver is our ambitious 2025 carbon neutrality pledge. By increasing the use of renewable energy, reducing our dependency on fossil fuels, electrifying our car fleet and increasing the energy efficiency throughout our value chain, we aim to reduce our embodied carbon by 40% by the end of 2025!

Beyond embodied carbon, Polycor only uses rainwater for stone extraction, recycles it, and also uses dry sawing technology in a growing number of quarry operations. In quarrying, production, installation and maintenance, natural stone lowers water use throughout its life cycle.

Polycor is the leader within the Natural Stone Sustainability Standard (ANSI 373) with 25% of our sites certified. This standard examines and verifies numerous areas of natural stone production, effectively improving the baseline for the environmental and social performance of natural stone in alignment with green building practices.

See how we make it greener

#### **LCA results**

0.00E+00

LIFE CYCLE STAGE	RAW MATERIAL SUPPLY AND TRANSPORT	MANUFACTURING	CONSTRUCTION	USE	END OF LIFE
Information modules: Included (X)   Excluded* (MND)	A1 Quarry operations	A3 Processor operations	A4 Stone transport to building sites	B1 Use	C1 Deconstruction
Stages B1-B7, C1, and C3 though included, have no associated activities.	A2 Transport to processors		A5 Installation	B2 Maintenance	C2 Waste Transport
*Module D is excluded.				B3 Repair	C3 Waste processing
				<b>B4</b> Replacement	C4 Disposal



#### **SM Single Score** Learn about SM Single Score results

Impacts of 1 square meter of installed natural stone cladding		1.30E+00 mPts	2.71E-01 mPts	0 mPts	8.38E-02 mPts
Materials or processes contributing >20% to to to total impacts in each life cycle stage	Energy consumed during stone quarrying (electricity and fuels).	Energy consumed during stone processing (electricity and fuels).	Truck transportation and use of ancillary materials (mainly mortar) for installation	NA	Waste transport to end of life centers.

#### **TRACI v2.1 results per functional unit**

LIFE CYCLE STAGE			A1-A2 QUARRY OPERATIONS AND TRANSPORT	A3 PROCESSOR OPERATIONS	A4-A5 STONE TRANSPORT TO BUILDING SITES	B1-B7 USE	C1-C4 END-OF-LIFE
Ecological damage	ge						
Impact category	Unit						
Acidification	kg SO <sub>2</sub> eq	0	1.63E-01	6.74E-02	2.31E-02	0	6.82E-03

(Embodied Carbon) Ozone depletion	kg CFC-11 eq	0	1.58E-06	2.04E-06	5.16E-07		3.88E-07
Global warming	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	?	2.42E+01	2.64E+01	6.48E+00	0	1.96E+00
Eutrophication	kg N eq	0	1.79E-02	1.44E-02	1.76E-03	0	8.80E-04
						-	

#### Human health damage

Impact category	Unit		-				
Carcinogenics	CTU <sub>h</sub>	0	2.32E-07	1.36E-07	2.75E-08	0	8.02E-10
Non-carcinogenics	CTU <sub>h</sub>	0	2.02E-06	1.36E-06	3.98E-07	0	7.09E-08
Respiratory effects	kg PM <sub>2.5</sub> eq	?	2.31E-02	3.48E-02	1.87E-03	0	4.96E-04
Smog	kg O <sub>3</sub> eq	?	4.61E+00	7.35E-01	4.10E-01	0	1.84E-01

#### Additional environmental information

Impact category	Unit						
Fossil fuel depletion	MJ, LHV	0	3.99E+01	3.75E+01	7.03E+00	0	4.00E+00
Ecotoxicity	CTU <sub>e</sub>	?	73.3 %	19.9 %	4.5 %	0 %	2.3 %

See the additional content required by the ULE PCR Part B for cladding product systems on page 4 of the Transparency Report PDF.

#### References

#### Rating systems

Polycor Natural Stone Cladding LCA Background Report (public version), Polycor 2023. SimaPro Analyst 9.4, ecoinvent 3.4 database.

#### **PCRs**

ير

ISO 21930:2017 serves as the core PCR along UL Part A.

#### ULE PCR Part A: Life Cycle Assessment Calculation Rules and Report **Requirements v3.2**

December, 2018. Technical Advisory Panel members reviewed and provided feedback on content written by UL Environment and USGBC. Past and present members of the Technical Advisory Panel are listed in the PCR.

#### ULE PCR Part B: Cladding Product Systems EPD requirements v2.0

April 2021. PCR review conducted by: Jim Mellentine (Thrive ESG); Christopher White (NIST), Ph.D.; and Philip S. Moser, P.E.(MA) (Simpson Gumpertz & Heger).

UL Environment General Program Instructions v2.5, March 2021 (available upon request)

ISO 14025, "Sustainability in buildings and civil engineering works -- Core rules for environmental product declarations of construction products and services"

Download PDF SM Transparency Report, which includes the additional EPD content required by the UL Environment PCR.

SM Transparency Reports (TR) are ISO 14025 Type III environmental declarations (EPD) that enable purchasers and users to compare the potential environmental performance of products on a life cycle basis. Environmental declarations from different programs (ISO 14025) may not be comparable. Comparison of the environmental performance of Cladding Product Systems using EPD information shall be based on the product's use and impacts at the building level, and therefore EPDs may not be used for comparability purposes when not considering the building energy use phase. Full conformance with the PCR for stone cladding allows EPD comparability only when all stages of a life cycle have been considered, when they comply with all referenced standards, use the same sub-category PCR, and use equivalent scenarios with respect to construction works. However, variations and deviations are possible.

Example of variations: Different LCA software and background LCI datasets may lead to differences results for upstream or downstream of the life cycle stages declared

The intent is to reward project teams for selecting products from manufacturers who have verified improved life-cycle environmental performance.

#### LEED BD+C: New Construction | v4 - LEED v4

Building product disclosure and optimization

**Environmental product declarations** 

Industry-wide (generic) EPD	½product
Product-specific Type III EPD	1 product

#### LEED BD+C: New Construction | v4.1 - LEED v4.1

Building product disclosure and optimization

Environmental product declarations

O Industry-wide (generic) EPD	1 product
Product-specific Type III EPD	1.5 product

#### **BREEAM New Construction 2018**

Mat 02 - Environmental impacts from construction products **Environmental Product Declarations (EPD)** 

O Industryaverage EPD	.5 points
Multi-product specific EPD	.75 points
V Product-specific EPD	1 point

# SM Transparency Report (EPD)™

VERIFICATION	LCA
<b>3rd-party reviewed</b>	<ul><li>♥</li></ul>
Transparency Re	port (EPD)
<b>3rd-party verified</b>	<

Validity: 2023/01/31 - 2028/01/30 Decl #: POL- 20230131 - 008

This environmental product declaration (EPD) was externally verified, according to ISO 21930:2017, UL Part A, and ISO 14025:2006, by Jack Geibig, President, Ecoform.

Ecoform, LLC 11903 Black Road, Knoxville, TN 37932

(865) 850-1883



#### **SUMMARY**

**Reference PCR** 

**Regions; system boundaries** North America; Cradle to grave

Functional unit / reference service life: 1 m<sup>2</sup> of installed stone cladding; 75 years

LCIA methodology: TRACI 2.1

LCA software; LCI database SimaPro Developer 9.4 Ecolnvent 3.8, US-EI 2.2

LCA conducted by: Sustainable Minds

Public LCA:

#### Polycor Inc.

76 rue Saint-Paul, Suite 100 Quebec City (Quebec), Canada G1K 3V9 418-692-4695

Contact us

© 2022 | The SM Transparency Report [EPD]<sup>™</sup> Program is operated by Sustainable Minds<sup>®</sup> (www.sustainableminds.com) | Privacy policy



SM Transparency Catalog 
Polycor 
Marble Facades, Cladding & Walls

## How we make it greener

#### Marble Facades, Cladding & Walls

#### See LCA results by life cycle stage

# Collapse all

#### RAW MATERIALS ACQUISITION

Natural stone quarrying process has high yields and little excess material because the stone is close to surface. It's different from metal mining, where large amounts of earth must be removed to extract very little quantities. Also, underground quarrying, which has been perfected for generations at our Eureka Quarry, reduces land use and is a practice that Polycor wishes to extend to several quarries.

In addition, few consumables are needed to extract natural stone. Contrast that with other building materials, Polycor specifically focuses on sourcing the highest grades of natural stone so that, for instance, a black granite stone, doesn't need dyes to achieve its rich color.

From the bedrock to the point of sale, Polycor maintains an unbroken ownership of the supply chain allowing it to maintain standards of quality and practice.




#### TRANSPORTATION

Using stone from local sources is the single biggest opportunity to reduce its embodied carbon. Since natural stone is a heavy material, the environmental impacts for transporting it end up being one of its most significant source of carbon. Natural stone is sourced world-wide and each deposit has unique aesthetic and performance characteristics so this is not always avoidable. Be sure to understand the distances between the quarry, the processing facility, sometimes the distribution centers but also the transportation mode. In most of Polycor's operations, the quarry is within miles of the processing facility.



#### MANUFACTURING

Manufacturing natural stone is so simple that you can sumarize it by a single action, cutting. Cutting large piece into smaller pieces ending in a finished product. Also, the beauty of natural stone products is that there is no chemical mixed within our products. Therefore, they are inherently a non-emitting source of VOCs.



Recycling water is reused several times into the manufacturing process and is compulsory to achieve ANSI 373 Standard.

There are a large variety of sizes and finishes that are commonly used for natural stone. Design teams can help reducing energy consumption in the following ways: Usage of low embodied carbon finishes such as water jet, 3D analysis to loose as few stone as possible troughout it's transformation, accepting the natural variation in the material so there is more usable material.

#### OTHER (USE, END OF LIFE)

Whether you think of the Egyptian pyramids, the Colosseum of Rome, the cathedrals of the European capitals or closer to us; the famous Empire State building; natural stone is the most durable, classic and timeless building material on Earth. With 100+ years of durability, natural stone lasts longer than other building construction material and projects that use natural stone require less maintenance.

Since we don't use any chemicals, natural stone products as well as excess process materials throughout the extraction and transformation phases can be reused or recycled into gravel for roads, landscaping products and even furniture and jewelry. In short, natural stone can be reused and recycled multiple times during its life cycle; the only limit is your imagination!

Nevertheless, even if natural stone ends up in a construction landfill, there will be no toxic chemicals seeping into the earth as the material degrades. It simply returns to the earth, cradle to cradle.





#### SM Transparency Report (EPD)™

# VERIFICATIONLCA3rd-party reviewedImage: Constraint of the second seco

Decl #: POL- 20230131 - 008

This environmental product declaration (EPD) was externally verified, according to ISO 21930:2017, UL Part A, and ISO 14025:2006, by Jack Geibig, President, Ecoform.

Ecoform, LLC 11903 Black Road, Knoxville, TN 37932 www.ecoform.com (865) 850-1883



#### SUMMARY

**Reference PCR** ULE PCR Part B: Cladding Product System: EPD requirements v2.0, 2021

**Regions; system boundaries** North America; Cradle to grave

**Functional unit / reference service life:** 1 m<sup>2</sup> of installed stone cladding; 75 years

LCIA methodology: TRACI 2.1

LCA software; LCI database SimaPro Developer 9.4 Ecolnvent 3.8, US-EI 2.2

LCA conducted by: Sustainable Minds

Public LCA: Life Cycle Assessment of Natural Stone Cladding for Polycor

#### Polycor Inc.

76 rue Saint-Paul, Suite 100 Quebec City (Quebec), Canada G1K 3V9 418-692-4695 www.polycor.com

Contact us

© 2022 | The SM Transparency Report [EPD]<sup>™</sup> Program is operated by Sustainable Minds<sup>®</sup> (www.sustainableminds.com) | Privacy policy

#### Sustainable Minds® Transparency Report

#### Additional EPD content required by: ULE PCR Part B: Cladding Product Systems EPD Requirements

#### Data

**Background** This product-specific declaration was created by collecting product data for one square meter (m<sup>2</sup>) of installed marble cladding. Marble cladding is the installation of exterior cladding to a building that separates it from the natural environment and provides an outer layer to the building. Material and production inputs from each quarry and processor site were used to calculate weighted averages of those inputs based on the production share of the site.

Allocation The allocation methods used were examined according to the updated allocation rules in ISO 21930:2017. Quarry inputs and outputs were divided evenly among the quarried marble by mass, and no co-product allocation was needed. Similarly, no co-product allocation was required for processor operations as well since processing data was collected from Polycor's processing plants specific to marble. The processor inputs and outputs were divided evenly among the processed stone by area.

**Cut-off criteria** for the inclusion of mass and energy flows are 1% of renewable primary resource (energy) usage, 1% nonrenewable primary resource (energy) usage, 1% of the total mass input of that unit process, and 1% of environmental impacts. The total of neglected input flows per module does not exceed 5% of energy usage, mass, and environmental impacts. No known flows are deliberately excluded from this declaration. Biogenic carbon is included in reported results.

**Quality** Primary data was collected for a time period of two years, which represents typical operations of Polycor's marble quarry and processors across North America. Inventory data is considered to have a good precision and provide a representative depiction of the industry average. Data is also considered to be complete as no know flows are deliberately excluded from this analysis other than those defined to be outside of the system boundary. Proxy and generic datasets have been used for some materials and processes, but are considered to be sufficiently representative.

#### **Quarry and Manufacturing Plant information**

Data Group	Quarry location(s)
North American Marble Quarries	Polycor Georgia Marble Quarry, Tate, GA Saint Clair Quarry, Marble City, OK
Data Group	Manufacturing Plant location(s)
North American Marble Plant	Georgia Marble Plant, Tate, GA

#### **Functional unit properties**

Parameter	Unit	Test Method	Value	
CSI Masterformat classification	04 42 00			
Stone type	Marble			
Stone grades	All grades			
Product weight	kg		129.94	
Thickness to achieve functional unit	m		0.04816	
Density	kg/m <sup>3</sup>		2,699	
Length	m		1.52	
Width	m		0.66	
Flexural strength	Мра	C880	6.89	
Modulus of rupture	MPa	C99	6.89	
Thermal conductivity (k-value)	W/mK	ASTM C518	2.07	
Thermal resistance (R-value)	m.K/W	ASTM C518	0.49	
Compressive strength	MPa	C170	51.71	
Water vapor permeance	metric perms	Not re	elevant	
Liquid water absorption	% of dry wt	C97	0.1-1.0	
Airborne sound reduction	dB	Not re	elevant	
Sound absorption coefficient	%	Not relevant		

#### **Marble Cladding**

#### Scenarios and additional technical information

#### Transport from Quarry to Processor (A2)

1 2 3 4 ADDITIONAL EPD CONTENT

Based on the primary data, the transport distance between Polycor's marble quarry and processing facilities varies, & the weighted distance is 157 km. For the quarries who had no primary information, a conservative stone transportation distance of 100 km via truck & trailer was assumed.

#### Transport to the building site (A4)

Parameter	Value	Unit
Vehicle type	Lorry, 16-32 ton	
Fuel type	Diesel	
Liters of fuel	0.41	l/100 km
Distance from manufacturer to installation site	100	km (weighted avg)
Capacity utilization (mass based)	100	%
Gross density of products transported	2,699	kg/m <sup>3</sup>
Capacity utilization volume factor	1	

#### Installation into the building (A5)

Even though cladding fabrication (cutting and finishing to required size) is done at the processing plants and is typically delivered to the job site ready for installation, minor changes may be necessary to accommodate design revisions. For consistency with the industry-average LCA an installation scrap rate of 5% is assumed.

Installation scrap assumed	5	%
Ancillary materials -		
Mortar Masonry connectors	4.88 0.62	kg
Net freshwater consumption	1	L
Electricity consumption	0	kWh
Product loss per functional unit (scrap)	6.5	kg
Waste materials at the construction site before waste processing (stone scrap and packaging waste)	9.61	kg
Output materials resulting from on-site waste processing	0	kg
Mass of packaging waste specified by type		
Cardboard Wood	0 3.11	kg
Biogenic carbon contained in packaging	5.70	kg CO <sub>2</sub>
Direct emissions to ambient air, soil and water	0	kg
VOC emissions	0	µg/m <sup>3</sup>

#### Maintenance scenario parameters (B1-B7)


Maintenance process information	Cleaning the surface of marble cladding				
Maintenance cycle	None				
Maintenance process information	None				
Energy input during maintenance	Not necessary				
Reference service life information					

Reference Service Life (RSL)	75	years	
Estimated Service life (ESL)	75	years	
Design application parameters	Outdoor applications		
Outdoor environment	Installation as recommended by manufacturer.		
Indoor environment	Not relevant		
Use conditions	All conditions		

#### Calcination $CO_2$ emissions

Although calcination and carbonation is not relevant to marble cladding products, calcination occurs during installation stage due to the use of mortar. Mortar includes cement calcination  $CO_2$  emissions which is calculated & reported separately using a carbon intensity factor of 886  $CO_2$  per ton of cement (Source: U.S. Cement Industry Carbon Intensities (2019)).

#### **Production flow chart**



saw slabs, bridge saws etc. stone blocks processed to stone flooring and paving products.

#### End of life (C1-C4)

Assumptions for scenario development	The product is dismantled and removed from the building manually. It is transported to a local facility where it requires no further processing before final disposition.				
Collection process	Collected separately	kg			
	Collected with mixed construction waste	135.44	kg		
Disposal	Landfill (31.5%)	92.78	kg		
Recovery	Reuse	0	kg		
	Recycling (68.5%)	92.78	kg		
Waste transport		100	km		
Removals of biogenic carbon (excluding packaging) 0 kg CO					

#### Hazardous waste

Polycor's marble cladding do not contain substances that are identified as hazardous according to the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), Subtitle C.

#### **Scaling factors**

The results presented below have been reported to 1.896 inches (48.16 mm) for marble cladding. However, they may be scaled according to different thicknesses as desired using scaling factors. To calculate the results for additional thickness options, simply multiply the results by the corresponding conversion factor presented here:

Thickness	1.696"	1"	2"	3 <sup>5/8</sup> "
	(48.16 mm)	(25.40 mm)	(50.80 mm)	(92.07 mm)
Conversion Factor	1	0.527	1.055	1.912

#### Major system boundary exclusions

- Capital goods and infrastructure,
- Maintenance and operation of support equipment;
- Manufacture and transport of packaging materials not associated with final product;
- Human labor and employee transport;
- Building operational energy and water use not associated with final product.

#### **Major assumptions and limitations**

- Quarrying & processing inventory specific to cladding are generated using the production share of cladding by stone types among the participant processors only.
- Energy consumed for cladding stone processing is assumed to be similar to the average energy processing of all stone products.
- Gaps in materials data for participant manufacturers are filled with an average from other facilities.
- A conservative stone transport distance of 100 km is taken for stone transport from quarries to processors for the quarries with no primary transport info.

### LCIA results, resource use, output & waste flows, and carbon emissions & removals per m<sup>2</sup> of marble cladding

Parameter										
			A2	A3	Α4	A5	B1-B7	C2	C4	Total
CIA results (per m <sup>2</sup> of marble cladding)           Ozone depletion         kg CFC-11 eq         7.22E-07         8.55E-07         2.04E-06         3.91E-07         1.25E-07         0         3.70E-07         1.79E-08         4.52E-07									4 525 00	
	kg CFC-II eq	7.22E-07	8.55E-07	2.04E-06	3.91E-07	1.25E-07	0	3./0E-07	1.79E-08	
Global warming	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq	1.99E+01	4.29E+00	2.64E+01	1.96E+00	4.52E+00	0	1.85E+00	1.05E-01	5.90E+01
Smog	kg O <sub>3</sub> eq	4.26E+00	3.53E-01	7.35E-01	1.61E-01	2.49E-01	0	1.53E-01	3.05E-02	5.94E+00
Acidification	kg SO <sub>2</sub> eq	1.50E-01	1.34E-02	6.74E-02	6.14E-03	1.70E-02	0	5.81E-03	1.01E-03	2.61E-01
Eutrophication	kg N eq	1.61E-02	1.81E-03	1.44E-02	8.26E-04	9.38E-04	0	7.81E-04	9.90E-05	3.50E-02
Carcinogenics	CTUh	2.30E-07	1.78E-09	1.36E-07	8.15E-10	2.67E-08	0	7.71E-10	3.07E-11	3.96E-07
Non-carcinogenics	CTUh	1.86E-06	1.61E-07	1.36E-06	7.36E-08	3.24E-07	0	6.97E-08	1.22E-09	3.85E-06
Respiratory effects	kg PM <sub>2.5</sub> eq	2.23E-02	8.43E-04	3.48E-02	3.85E-04	1.48E-03	0	3.65E-04	1.31E-04	6.03E-02
Ecotoxicity	CTUe	3.01E+01	2.34E+00	8.81E+00	1.07E+00	9.44E-01	0	1.01E+00	9.97E-03	4.43E+01
Fossil fuel depletion	MJ surplus	3.12E+01	8.74E+00	3.75E+01	3.99E+00	3.04E+00	0	3.78E+00	2.22E-01	8.85E+01
Energy consumption, energy							0	3.702+00	2.221 01	
Renewable primary energy used	-						0	0.075.00	2 0 2 5 0 2	0.075.04
as energy carrier (fuel)	MJ, LHV	1.95E+01	8.94E-02	7.04E+00	4.08E-02	1.96E+00	0	3.87E-02	3.02E-03	2.87E+01
Renewable primary resources with energy content used as material	MJ, LHV	0.00E+00	0	4.19E+01	0	0	0	0	0	4.19E+01
Total use of renewable primary resources with energy content	MJ, LHV	1.95E+01	8.94E-02	4.89E+01	4.08E-02	1.96E+00	0	3.87E-02	3.02E-03	7.06E+01
Non-renewable primary resources used as an energy carrier (fuel)	MJ, LHV	3.06E+02	5.75E+01	5.03E+02	2.63E+01	4.23E+01	0	2.49E+01	1.47E+00	9.61E+02
Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as material	MJ, LHV	4.20E-01	0	1.85E+01	0	0	0	0	0	1.89E+01
Total use of non-renewable primary resources with energy content	MJ, LHV	3.06E+02	5.75E+01	5.21E+02	2.63E+01	4.23E+01	0	2.49E+01	1.47E+00	9.80E+02
Secondary materials	kg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Renewable secondary fuels	MJ, LHV	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-renewable secondary fuels	MJ, LHV	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Recovered energy	MJ, LHV	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Use of net freshwater resources	m <sup>3</sup>	5.65E+01	3.02E-01	4.69E+00	1.38E-01	3.12E+00	0	1.30E-01	1.01E-02	6.49E+01
Output flows and waste cate	gory indica	ators (per r	m <sup>2</sup> of mark	ole claddin	g)					
Hazardous waste disposed	kg	3.22E-02	0	3.16E-04	0	0	0	0	0	3.25E-02
Non-hazardous waste disposed	kg	1.86E+00	0	4.19E-01	0	2.04E+00	0	0	4.27E+01	4.70E+01
High-level radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository	kg	1.46E-02	4.68E-06	3.90E-02	2.14E-06	3.17E-04	0	2.02E-06	1.58E-07	5.40E-02
Intermediate- and low-level radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository	kg	2.10E-08	4.91E-08	2.33E-04	2.24E-08	6.49E-07	0	2.12E-08	1.66E-09	2.34E-04
radioactive waste, conditioned, to	kg kg	2.10E-08 0	4.91E-08 0	2.33E-04 0	2.24E-08 0	6.49E-07 0	0	2.12E-08	1.66E-09 0	2.34E-04
radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository										0
radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository Components for re-use	kg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository Components for re-use Materials for recycling	kg kg	0 1.78E+03	0	0 6.91E+01	0	0 6.41E+00	0	0	0 9.28E+01	1.95E+03
radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository Components for re-use Materials for recycling Materials for energy recovery Exported energy (EE)	kg kg kg MJ, LHV	0 1.78E+03 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 6.91E+01 0 0	0 0 0	0 6.41E+00 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 9.28E+01 0	0 1.95E+03 0
radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository Components for re-use Materials for recycling Materials for energy recovery Exported energy (EE)	kg kg kg MJ, LHV	0 1.78E+03 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 6.91E+01 0 0	0 0 0	0 6.41E+00 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 9.28E+01 0	0 1.95E+03 0
radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository Components for re-use Materials for recycling Materials for energy recovery Exported energy (EE) Carbon emissions and remov Biogenic Carbon Removal from	kg kg MJ, LHV vals (per m	0 1.78E+03 0 0 2 <sup>2</sup> of marble	0 0 0 0 e cladding	0 6.91E+01 0 0		0 6.41E+00 0 0			0 9.28E+01 0 0	0 1.95E+03 0 0
radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository Components for re-use Materials for recycling Materials for energy recovery Exported energy (EE) Carbon emissions and remov Biogenic Carbon Removal from Product	kg kg MJ, LHV Vals (per m	0 1.78E+03 0 0 <b>2</b> of marble	0 0 0 0 e cladding	0 6.91E+01 0 0 )	0 0 0 0	0 6.41E+00 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 9.28E+01 0 0	0 1.95E+03 0 0
radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository Components for re-use Materials for recycling Materials for energy recovery Exported energy (EE) Carbon emissions and remov Biogenic Carbon Removal from Product Biogenic Carbon Emission from	kg kg MJ, LHV vals (per m kg CO <sub>2</sub>	0 1.78E+03 0 0 <b>0</b> <b>0</b> <b>0</b> <b>0</b>	0 0 0 0 e cladding 0	0 6.91E+01 0 0 0 )		0 6.41E+00 0 0 0			0 9.28E+01 0 0 0	0 1.95E+03 0 0
radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository Components for re-use Materials for recycling Materials for energy recovery Exported energy (EE) Carbon emissions and remov Biogenic Carbon Removal from Product Biogenic Carbon Emission from Packaging Biogenic Carbon Emission from	kg kg MJ, LHV vals (per m kg CO <sub>2</sub> kg CO <sub>2</sub>	0 1.78E+03 0 0 0 <b>0</b> <b>0</b> <b>0</b>	0 0 0 0 e cladding 0	0 6.91E+01 0 0 0 ) 0 0 0 0 0 5.70E+00		0 6.41E+00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2.85E-01			0 9.28E+01 0 0 0 0 0	0 1.95E+03 0 0 0
radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository Components for re-use Materials for recycling Materials for energy recovery Exported energy (EE) Carbon emissions and remov Biogenic Carbon Removal from Product Biogenic Carbon Emission from Packaging Biogenic Carbon Emission from Packaging	kg kg MJ, LHV vals (per m kg CO <sub>2</sub> kg CO <sub>2</sub>	0 1.78E+03 0 0 0 <b>0</b> <b>0</b> <b>0</b> <b>0</b> <b>0</b> <b>0</b> <b>0</b> <b>0</b> <b></b>	0 0 0 0 0 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	0 6.91E+01 0 0 0 ) ) 0 0 5.70E+00 0		0 6.41E+00 0 0 0 0 0 0 2.85E-01 4.33E+00			0 9.28E+01 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1.95E+03 0 0 0 0 0 0 5.99E+00 4.33E+00
radioactive waste, conditioned, to final repository Components for re-use Materials for recycling Materials for energy recovery Exported energy (EE) Carbon emissions and remov Biogenic Carbon Removal from Product Biogenic Carbon Emission from Packaging Biogenic Carbon Emission from Packaging Biogenic Carbon Emission from Packaging	kg kg MJ, LHV vals (per m kg CO <sub>2</sub> kg CO <sub>2</sub> kg CO <sub>2</sub>	0 1.78E+03 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0	0 6.91E+01 0 0 0 0 0 0 5.70E+00 0 0		0 6.41E+00 0 0 0 0 0 0 2.85E-01 4.33E+00 0			0 9.28E+01 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1.95E+03 0 0 0 0 0 5.99E+00 4.33E+00

© 2023 | The SM Transparency Report<sup>™</sup> Program is operated by Sustainable Minds<sup>®</sup> | Member, Program Operator Consortium | All rights reserved Privacy policy